

ARRIVED.

Thursday, April 27, Am, bk. George Curtis, Calhoun, from Newcastle, 8 a. m. Stmr. Concord, Ulunahele, from Hilo, 10:25 p. m.

Wednesday, April 26 Stmr. Mikahala, Gregory, from Kanai ports, 5:45 a. m.

Am. sp. William P. Frye. Sewall, from Shanghai, 7 a. m. (off port).

DEPARTED. Tuesday, April 25. O. & O. S. S. Coptic, Finch, for San

Francisco, 12 midnight, Stmr. Kinau, Freeman, for Hilo and way ports, 12 m.

Stmr. W. G. Hall, S. Thompson, for Kauai ports, 5 p. m. Stmr. Claudine, Parker, for Maui

ports, 5:30 p. m. Stmr. J. A. Cummins, Searle, for Wa imanalo and Koolau ports, 7 a. m. U. S. S. Iroquois, Niblack, for Pearl

Harbor, 9 a, m. Stmr. Noeau, Pederson, for Lahaina, Kaanapali, Honokaa and Kukuihaele,

Stmr. Likelike, Naopala, for Molokal, Maui and Lanai ports, 5 p. m.
Schr. Kauikeaouli, for Kohalalele and

Stmr. Kauai, Bruhn, for Kauai ports, is to sail and when is a mystery, even Stmr. Nijhau, W. Thompson, for Ka-

ual ports, 5 p. m. PASSENGERS.

Departed.

Per stmr. Kinau, April 25, for Hilo and way ports-Dr. Neill, V. S. Clark, Mrs. H. Hart, J. G. Serrao, E. J. Wal-C. M. Lovsted, W. A. Rowell, A. H. Jackson, R. W. Shingle, M. A. Jamleson, W. C. Irwin, Dr. K. Rechinger and wife, Mrs. George Lycurgus, L. M. Whitehouse, Mrs. W. Hapai, Mrs. S. Hapai, Miss Palecki, Mrs. M. Naopala and 4 children, E. G. Clark, Thomas Cook, Frank B. Cork.

Per stmr. Claudine, April 25, for Maui ports-Mrs. F. A. Kohler and child, Mrs. R. P. Hose and 2 children, Miss M. Kapaekakui, J. L. Fleming, H. M. Wells, M. C. Picanco, Rev. B, Bazata and wife, E. H. Hart, Mr. Ronitz.

Per stmr. Likelike, April 25, for Mo-lokal and Maul ports-R, H, Hitchcock, Bev. J. J. Matthews.

Situate North Kona, Hawaii, and being Per stmr. W. G. Hall, April 25, for Kauai ports-J. A. Palmer, A. H. Crook, Tom Ayog, K. Kato, Judge Perry, Yie Sheong and 37 deck.

EVERY BOTTLE WARRANTED-Chamberlain's Pain Balm will not cost you one cent if it does you no Give it a trial if you are troubled with rheumatism. One application will relieve the pain. Pains in the side or chest, soreness of the muscles or stiffness of the joints are quickly cured by applying this liniment. For sale by all dealers and druggists. Benson, Smith & Co., Agents for Hawaii.

TERRITORY OF HAWAII.

Treasurer's Office, Honolulu, Oahu, In re Dissolution of the Hawaiian Lodge No. 21 of Free and Accepted Masons.

Whereas, the Hawaiian Lodge No. 21 of Free and Accepted Masons, a cor-poration established and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the Territory of Hawaii has pursuant to law in such cases made and provided, filed in this office, a petition for the dissolution of the said corporation, together with a certificate thereto annexed as required by law.

Now, therefore, notice is hereby given to any and all persons that have been or are now interested in any manner whatsoever in the said corporation, that objections to the granting of the said petition must be filed in this office r before Tuesday, June 27th, 1905, and that any person or persons desiring to be heard thereon must be in attendance at the office of the under signed, in the Capitol Building, Honolulu, at 12 o'clock noon of said day, to show cause, if any, why said petition should not be granted.

A. J. CAMPBELL, Treasurer Territory of Hawaii. Honolulu, April 24, 1905.

Bank 🕶 Hawaii

Incorporated Under the Laws of the Territory of Hawaii,

PAID-UP CAPITAL \$600,000.00 SURPLUS 200,000.00 UNDIVIDED PROFITS ... 102,617.80

OFFICERS:

Charles M. Cooke President P. C. Jones......Vice-President F. W. Macfarlane .. 2nd Vice-President C. H. Cooke......Cashier C. Hustace, Jr Assistant Cashier F. B. Damon Assistant Cashier

Jones, F. W. Macfarlane, E. F. Bishop, E. D. Tenney, J. A. McCandless, C. H. Atherton, C. H. Cooke,

COMMERCIAL AND SAVINGS DE-PARTMENTS.

Strict attention given to all branches

of Banking.

THE PARTY OF THE P

THE OLD RELIABLE REPORT OF COMM

Your special committee to investi- be used. gate whether the Hilo armory has been built according to specifications,

beg to report as lollows:
Your committee have held meetings and taken evidence. Among other evidence is the report of John Ouderkirk, an independent expert, who made an examination of the building.

ASIATIC EMPLOYEES.

We find that Asiaties were employed in milling the lumber, such milling being cone especially for this job. This was contrary to the specifications and

Absolutely Pure

THERE IS NO SUBSTITUTE

SHIPPING NOTES.

The barkentine S. G. Wilder got away

The ship A. J. Fuller took a load of

sugar to San Francisco salling yester-

The transport Logan is expected May 5th or 6th with two battalions of

13th Cavalry, casuals and over

Louis Drewson, recently advanced to

The Oceanic liner Australia has been

rought down from the Martinez basin

ompany's docks in San Francisco and is

where she has been laid up to the

being made ready for sea. Officers and

crew have been gathered. Where she

to her officers. It has previously been

reported that she is coming here for

The bark Amy Turner arrived at Hilo on the 22nd, twenty days from

Ed. Devauchelle's new launch was

given a trial trip yesterday and prov-ed satisfactory. It has a four-horse

power gaseline motor and is to be used in the fish trade between Molokai

Auction Sale

Thursday, June 1, 1905,

12 o'clock noon at my salesroom, 857

Lease of Bishop Estate Lands

The makai portions of the lands of

North Kona, Hawaii, lying below the

Mauka Government Road, containing

an area of 2800 acres more or less, of

coffee, fruit and grazing land. Also

the Fishing Rights appurtenant to the

said lands, until such time as the same

may be condemned or acquired by the

Government. Proposed lease excepts and

reserves all kuleanas not owned by the

Lessors. It includes an area of about

80 acres of fine land formerly leased to

Term of Lease 21 years from 1st day

Upset price for the annual rental,

\$500.00 net above Taxes and a sum

equal to one-half years' rental to be de-

Conditions as usual in Bishop Estate

shall not charge a rate in excess of

For further particulars apply to the

Superintendent of the Bishop Estate,

Frank S. Dodge, No. 77 Merchant street, Honolulu; Thos. C. White, Local

Agent of the Estate, Kainaliu, Kona.

Kaahumanu St., Honolulu.

2688

EAGLE BRAND

HAS NO EQUAL

BORDEN'S

Condensed Milk Go.

New York.

IT'S BEST RECOMMENDA-

Hollister Drug Co. \$

BORDENS'

MALTED

MILK

JAS. F. MORGAN.

AUCTIONEER.

posited upon the day of sale.

\$5.00 per annum per acre.

the Hawaiian Tea & Coffee Co., Ltd.

Kahaiuu, Keauhou 1, and Keauhou 2

Kaahumanu street, Honolulu,

the position of Second officer of the Alameda, is rapidly convalescing from

for San Francisco at 10:15 yesterday

morning

day afternoon.

1000 tons of coal.

San Francisco.

as follows:

of July, 1905.

his attrack of sciatica.

The contractor was notified of this violation before he put the lumber on the building, as was also the Superintendent of Public Works. Both ignored the fact and the entire rustic siding of the building and all other milled lumber was made exclusively by Asiatic workmen, and this in spite of the fact that there is in Hilo a lumber mill which employs exclusively

citizen labor. We call attention to the fact that in another recent case in Honolulu the Superintendent of Public Works con demned lumber used in a government building contract because it was mill-

by a citizen employing Asiatics. If the law concerning the employ ment of citizen labor is to remain on the statute books it should be enforced against all alike, as it is ex-ceedingly unfair to require contractors to base their bids on high-priced citi-zen labor and then allow a favored contractor to bid low and get the contract, knowing that the citizen labor law will not be enforced against him. NEGLECT OF THE CONTRACTOR

AND NEGLIGENCE OF PUBLIC WORKS OFFICIALS.

The specifications call for the constant presence of the contractor or his foreman, while the job is going on. We find that the contractor was not

days, and then only when comtions had been violated.

The contractor, L. M. Whitehouse &

o, sub-let the contract to J. C. Carter, and then appointed the sub-contractor its foreman, paying no further attention to the job.

Tais fact was well known to the

Public Works Department, but no objection was made thereto. We consider this to be a rank violation of the contract and evidence of neglect of duty by the officials of the Public Works Department.

VIOLATION OF SPECIFICATIONS. We find that there are so many de-

partures from and violations of the specifications that we are forced to believe that they were not only intentional, but systematic, and must have been calculated upon when the con-

tract was estimated upon.

We are informed that as to the bulk of the violations of the contract no attention was paid thereto by the Publie Works officials until outside parties repeatedly called attention thereto.

There are so many of these depart-ures from the specifications that we will only enumerate the principal ones. They are as follows:

1. Sills. The specifications called for sills 8x16 inches in size. The contractor has used sills 10x12

2. Posts, Girders, Stringers and Roof

leases, and to include a covenant on the part of the Lessee, to continue as The specifications call for best qualsub-tenants on the said lands, should they so desire, all bonefide residents now holding, occupying or cultivating The Lessee shall have the right terial, resulting in further saving to to limit the size of such sub-leases to a the contractor and loss to the public.

3. Purlins. The specifications callmaximum area of two (2) acres, and ed for 3-foot centers. The plans showed 5-foot centers.

The proper strength of the building requires the purlins to be 3-foot centers, but the contractor ignored the specifications and placed them 5-foot centers, and the Superintende Public Works has permitted it. Superintendent of

By not following the specifications the contractor saved about \$60 on this

4. Splicing. By ignoring the specifications about splicing the centractor saved about \$30.

Outside Trimm ag. By not fotlowing specifications the cou-saved about \$50 on this item. contractor The specifi-

16x16 inches. The contractor substituted SxS inch

S. Corrugated Iron Roof. The speci-fications called for two laps at joints. The contractor only made 1 1-2 laps. This change weakens the roof and saved the contractor about \$75 in

ing about \$14, 10. Finish Flooring. The specifi-cations call for vertical grain selected

flooring.

The contractor has substituted an inferior grade of flooring, making a saving of approximately \$64.

This change will not be compensated for by making a reduction in the price, which is \$4 per 1000 feet of lumber.

The inferior grade of lumber will never make as good a marching floor as that specified.

In this connection it is to be noted that while vertical grain lumber was called for, for flooring for the interior of a house to be used by men, inferior material was allowed, while in the case of the Brewer Wharf, where vertical grain was called for to be used out doors, chiefly by horses, the department refused to allow the material to

This is cited as an illustration of the fact that official favorites can make

or break a contractor. 11. Whitewashing Interior. The specifications called for whitewashing

the entire interior. The contractor instead of white-washing, machine finished the interior the at a saving of expense estimated by your committee at \$15.

12. Painting. The specifications called for painting the "exterior" of

The government inspector agreed If this claim is allowed it will save

the contractor not less than \$85.

Mr. Ouderkirk reported that the specifications included painting the

We are informed that notwithstanding this, the Superintendent of Public Works has referred this point to ar-

bitration. We are of the opinion that the Superintendent has no right to arbitrate

"Exterior" means "outside," and the outside of the roof is as much "exterior" as the outside of the walls. The word "exterior" is a plain, or-dinary English word, having no tech-nical meaning. It is not open to con-

struction. The universal custom is to paint iron roofs. It is bad workmanship not to paint them. If the contractor does not paint the roof, the government will have to, or the roof will speedily be eaten up by iron rust, as it is near the sea and the regular wind blows directly to it from the sea.

13. Rustic. The specifications call for best material and a workmanlike job. This would require the rustic to be dried and shrunk. Instead of doing this, the contractor nailed the ruspresent on the job more than three or tic on green. The joints have shrunk and in many cases are open a quarter plaints were made that the specifica- | of an inch, and in some cases more, so that daylight can be seen in numerous places through the side of the house. This makes a botch job, most unsight-

ly and unweatherly.

14. Nails. The specifications call for best material. In a wet climate like Hile, galvanized nails should always be used, to prevent speedy rust-

ing out. The contractor has used black nails,

which are an inferior article, 15. P. ates. The specifications call for bottom plates to the building. The contractor left these out, until Mr. Ouderkirk required him to put them in, when he sawed off all the studding

and drove the plates in, making a most unworkmanlike job.

16. Steps. The specifications call for front steps of a certain width. The contractor, with the approval of the inspector, has put in steps of only half the specified width, with a corresponding saving to the contractor, while the

good looks of the building are dimin-ished by the change. 17. Extras. The contractor has claims for a number of extras.

Mr. Ouderkirk reports that all of the so-called "extras" are included within the meaning of the original specifications and we agree with him.

The contractor has 18. Changes. inches in size, making a saving of ma-terial of the value of about \$60. 'were ordered by the government inwere ordered by the government in-

contract specifies that The

enumerated, and others not enumerated, there is not a scratch of a pen au-thorizing one of them.

We consider this provision an abso-

lutely necessary one for the protec-tion of the public interests, and that the Superintendent of Public Works has no right to waive it.

In conclusion, it appears to your com-mittee that the rights of other contractors and the public have been en-tirely neglected in connection with this contract. The contractor has ap-parently been permitted to do as he chose, without any adequate check or supervision, the law and the specifications being alike ignored, the pu getting the worst of it every time. public

We estimate that by the changes in and violations or the contract, the con-6. Columns of Porch. The specifi-cations called for made up columns, 12 per cent on the amount of the contractor has saved about \$600, or about tract, at the expense of the public. The Hilo armory is, by the neglect

plain columns, entirely changing the of the Public Works Department and appearance of the perch and saving the wilful violation of the contract by

appearance of the perch and saving about \$50.

7. Window Caps. The contractor ignored the specifications and saved about \$15 on this item.

8. Corrugated Iron Roof. The specifications called for two laps at joints. The contractor only made 1 1.2 laps.

This change weakens the roof and saved the contractor about \$75 in weight of iron.

of their contract. I did not find the contractors on the building, nor did I find a foreman, as the specifications call for. To my

mind this is important. I find that the contractors have sublet the building to J. C. Carter, and they are using him as foreman in or-der to cover that clause in the specifi-cations, which I think is wrong.

I find that they are not using the best material in the building which the specifications call for. The rustic was not stacked up to dry, but was nailed on green, and now the joints are

mind galvanized nails are the best.

I find the bottom plates left out of the building, as called for on the plans, and if the contractors had a compe-tent foreman on the building—as the specifications call for—such things

would not occur.

I think it would be to the Best interests of the public if your inspector would stand hard and fast to the plans and specifications and force the con-tractors to appeal to the Superintend-ent of Public Works for relief.

fail to see, taking the plans and specifications as a whole, where the contractors have performed work or furnished meterial not called for by said plans and specifications, but I do see where the Public Works Depart-ment would be justified in calling on the contractors to make a deduction for things left out, but called for by plans and specifications.
n regard to splicing the beams,

would say that the way they are joined together is amply strong, but if done as shown on the plans, it would make a better job. By changing the roof, and claims it is not included in the word "exterior."

The proventies of the contractor saved material and labor.

As specified, all joints of purlins should have a 12-inch splice, but the sub-contractor has made a butt-joint and nailed a piece of 1x6 12 inches long on each side, which is a better job. Labor and material saved on the change is not worth mentioning.

The corrugated iron on the roof, as specified, should have side lap of two corrugations; this is a mistake; must lap either one and a half two and a half corrugations. I do not think you should deduct anything from the contractors for this, if the roof is water-tight.

You will find by referring to plans that all outside studding is spaced four feet on centers. I consider this very poor construction; where the walls are to be covered with rustic no studding for rustic walls should be placed more than two feet on centers but it is not too late to correct this if

the department feels so disposed.

The ceiling joists over officers' rooms are specified to be of 2x4; the span being 23 feet, should have stronger joists, say 2x10 or 2x12 inch.

width shown on plan, which is a great saving to the contractors.

I do not agree with your inspector

without any regard to your specifica-Changes.

tors.

Kespectfully submitted, JOHN OUDERKIRK, Honolulu, January 23, 1905.

MADE BY LAVINIA KAPU (w). MORTGAGEE'S NOTICE OF FORE-

CLOSURE AND OF SALE. In accordance with the provisions of certain mortgage made by Lavinia Kapu (w) widow, of Honolulu, Island of Oahu, Territory of Hawaii, to S. I Shaw, of said Honolulu, dated the 1st day of August, A. D. 1901, and recorded in the Hawaiian Registry of Conveyances in liber 227, page 187, and assigned by said S. I. Shaw to Frank C. Betters, by assignment dated May 6, 1902, duly recorded in said Registry;

Notice is hereby given that the said Frank C. Betters, assignee mortgage, intends to foreclose the said changes shall be made or charged for, mortgage for condition broken, to wit,

than called for by the specifications, Public Works and the contractor.

and are of unsuitable and inferior ma
In spite of the many changes above three weeks from the date of this notice, the property conveyed by said mortgage and hereunder described will be sold at public auction at the auction rooms of James F. Morgan, auc-

> of said day. The premises covered by said mortgage intended to be sold consists of: All of that piece or parcel of land situate at Kalauao, Ewa, Island of Oahu, described in Royal Patent No. 746, L. C. Award No. 9353 to Palau, containing an area of 6-10 acre, said premises being under lease to Wood-

Further particulars can be obtained from C. F. Peterson, attorney for said Assignee of Mortgagee, and from said James F. Morgan, auctioneer, Dated Honolulu, April 12, 1905.

FRANK C. BETTERS, Assignee of Mortgagee. 2685-April 14, 21, 28, May 5.

MORTGAGE MADE BY MARY BUCKLE,

MORTGAGEE'S NOTICE OF INTEN-TION TO FORECLOSE AND OF FORECLOSURE SALE

weight of iron.

9. Iron Ridging. The specifications call for extra wide ridging. The contractor used narrow ridging instead, making an unworkmanlike job and saving about \$14. page 319, now held by The Western and Hawalian Investment Company. Ltd., as assignee, notice is hereby given that the mortgagee intends to foreclose the same for condition broken, to wit: non-payment of interest and principal when due.

Notice is likewise given that after the expiration of three weeks from the date of this notice, the property covered by said mortgage will be advertised for sale at public auction, at the auction rooms of James F. Morgan, in Honolulu, on Saturday, the 8th day of April, 1905, at 12 o'clock noon of said

Further particulars can be had of Castle and Withington, attorneys for

THE WESTERN AND HAWAIIAN INVESTMENT CO., LTD., Assignee of Mortgagee.

gage consist of:
All that piece or parcel of land in Kamakela, Honolulu, Island of Oahu,

described as follows: 1.-Lot eleven (11), containing ,20 of

2.-Lot twelve (12), adjoining lot 11

containing .347 of an acre.

3.—Lot thirteen (13), adjoining lot 12, containing .413 of an acre; the total acreage being about .96 of an acre, being the property described in a deed made by E. K. and K. Nahaolelua to Mary Buckle and Jane Clark, dated June 29, 1891, recorded book 133, page 189, being a part of the premises described in R. P. No. 1985, issued on L. C. A. No. 6245, Ap. 1, to Kalaeoke-

The above sale has been postponed Saturday, April 22nd, 1905, at 12 o'clock noon at salesroom of Jas. F. Morgan, Kaahumanu street, Honolulu, T. H

JAS. F. MORGAN, Auctioneer.

The above sale has been further postponed to May 6, 1905, at 12 o'clock noon, at salesrooms of Jas. F. Morgan, Kaahumanu street, T. H. 2689-April 28, May 5.

COURT NOTICES

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE TER-

RITORY OF HAWAIL e United States of America, Plaintiff and Petitioner, vs. J. W. Ka-wal; Mauikuaole, wife of J. W. Kawai; the Estate of Henry Waterhouse, Deceased; et als., Defend-ants and Respondents. Action brought in said District Court, and the Petition Filed in the Office of the Clerk of Said District Court, in

Honolulu, he President of the United States of

America, Greeting: To J. W. KAWAI; MAUIKUAOLE, wife of J. W. KAWAI; THE ESTATE OF HENRY WATERHOUSE, Deceased; ALBERT WATERHOUSE and WILLIAM WATERHOUSE, Executors of the last Will and Testament, and of the Estate of HENRY WATER-HOUSE, Deceased; IDA WHAN WATring to the contractors.

I do not agree with your inspector regard to painting the outside of ELEANOR WATERHOUSE WOOD. building. Your specifications read that daughter of said HENRY WATER-exterior of building shall have two HOUSE, Deceased, ARTHURB WOOD. HOUSE, Deceased, ARTHURB. WOOD, husband of said ALEANOR WATER-HOUSE WOOD; MARY STANGEN-WALD CORBETT, daughter of said HENRY WATERHOUSE, Deceased; DAVID W. CORBETT, husband of said MARY STANGENWALD CORtions on page s, under the head of BETT; ALBERT WATERHOUSE, son of said HENRY WATERHOUSE, ceased; and GRETCHEN K. WATER-HOUSE, wife of said ALBERT WAT-ERHOUSE, Defendants and Respond-

ents. You are hereby directed to appear, and answer the petition in an action entitled as above, brought against your in the District Court of the United States, in and for the Territory of Hawaii, within twenty days from and after service upon you of a certified copy of the plaintiff's petition herein, to-gether with a certified copy of this

And you are hereby notified that unless you appear and answer as above required, the said plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief demanded

in the petition herein. WITNESS the Honorable Sanford B. Dole, Judge of said District Court, this 13th day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and five and of the independence of the United States the one hundred and twenty-ninth

W. B. MALING.

A true copy, Attest:

W. B. MALING, Clerk. 2675.

TERRITORY OF HAWAII.

The United States of America, Plain-tiff and Petitioner, vs. Elizabeth Schaefer, et als, Defendants and Respondents. Action brought in said District Court, and the Petition filed in the office of the Clerk of said District Court, in Honolulu. The President of the United States

of America, Greeting: To ELIZABETH SCHAEFER, wife of FREDRICH SCHAEFER; FRED-RICH SCHAEFER, husband of said ELIZABETH SCHAEFER: FRANCIS SPENCER; PUNIAI; HILAUEA; KI-MO PII (sometimes called JAMES PII); KAMALIE; HAIHEHENA; ME-LE; HAIYAMA (sometimes called HA-ILAMA and sometimes called HILA-MA); ALAPAA; MIRIAM PURPLE, HENRY RED, JANE GREEN, HOR-ACE BLACK and GEORGE WHITE, unknown heirs at law of KAHIKAE-LE, Deceased; PETER BLUE, KATH-ERINE YELLOW, HENRY BROWN, LYDIA SCARLET and JOHN VIO-LIE, Deceased; and JAMES NIIHAU, THOMAS KAUAI, WILLIAM OAHU, JOHN LAHAINA, and HORACE HA-WAII, unknown heirs at law of KA-EINA, Deceased, Defendants and Re-

spondents. You are hereby directed to appear, and answer the petition in an action entitled as above, brought against you in the District Court of the United States, in and for the Territory of Hawaii, within twenty days from and after service upon you of a certified copy of the plaintiff's petition herein together with a certified copy of this

And you are hereby notified that unless you appear and answer as above required, the said plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief demanded

in the petition herein.
WITNESS the Honorable Sanford B. Dole, Judge of said District Court, this 16th day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and five, and of the independence of States the one hundred and twenty-ninth.

WALTER B. MALING.

A true copy, attest: (Seal) W. B. MALING.

mortgagee,

Dated Honolulu, March 17, 1905.

Front steps have been changed by your inspector to about one-half the

coats of paint. I claim the roof is in-cluded, as well as the walls. Your inspector says walls only.

All changes made by your inspector and the contractors have been made

In conclusion, I would say that you should compel the contractors to either superintend the work themselves or place a competent foreman on the building to look after the sub-contrac-

FORECLOSURES.

ity of material, and give dimensions. except upon an agreement in writing for non-payment of principal and in-Some of the posts used are smaller being signed by the Superintendent of terest when due;

that Honolulu, on Saturday, the 6th day of Yorks May, A. D. 1905, at 12 o'clock noon

lawn Fruit Company for a period of 15 years from Sept. 1, 1899, at \$40 per